Grip strength following total wrist arthrodesis using the same hand as reference: a prospective study
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Abstract

Introduction: Many patients suffer from a decrease in grip strength due to various conditions of the wrist, including osteoarthritis. A stable painless wrist is essential for normal function of the hand. Many patients are offered a total wrist fusion to alleviate their pain and increase their grip. There is a dearth of literature investigating the effect of total wrist arthrodesis on grip strength utilising the same side as a reference.

Methods: A prospective study was done to determine change in grip strength and patient-reported outcome measurements post-operatively as compared to same-side pre-operative values in subjects undergoing total wrist arthrodesis with a minimum follow-up of one year. Grip strength was measured pre-operatively and at least one year post-operatively using the Jamar® (Patterson Medical) dynamometer in the standardised method as part of set protocol. Functional outcomes were assessed by the patients completing Disabilities of Arm, Shoulder and Hand (DASH) scores, pre-operatively and at one-year follow-up. The differences in measures were assessed using a paired samples T-test, as well as percentage changes between measurements.

Results: Twenty-two patients were evaluated, with a mean age of 49.6 years (range 19–85). The mean follow-up was 27 months (12–52 months). The grip strength in the affected hand improved on average by 12.6 kg (p<0.001; CI 95% [7.7, 17.3]). The grip in the affected hand was 84.5% compared to the pre-operative value of the unaffected side (32.8 kg vs 38.8 kg; p<0.001). The DASH score improved by 27.8 points (p<0.1).

Conclusion: Total wrist fusion remains a reliable procedure to restore a power grip at the expense of wrist movement. It is a suitable option for a high-demand patient with an isolated wrist problem.

Level of evidence: Level 3
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Introduction

A stable painless wrist is essential for normal function of the hand. Many patients suffer from a decrease in grip strength due to various conditions of the wrist, including osteoarthritis. High-demand patients are often offered a total wrist fusion as a possible solution to their pain and grip strength deficits. Various studies have compared post-operative grip strength as a value of the contralateral side or as a comparison to the normative values for age and sex.\(^1\)

A limited number of studies have quantified the gain in grip strength of the affected hand before surgery and after successful fusion. Rauhaniemi et al.\(^2\) published research where post-operative grip strength was measured as a percentage of the pre-operative value in 115 patients with rheumatoid arthritis following a total wrist arthrodesis. This study used the Mannerfelt technique.\(^3\) Right-hand grip strength was 24% stronger after one year, and 20% stronger if surgery was on the left hand. This is the most extensive series reported in the literature. A study similar to the current paper retrospectively reviewed the gain in grip strength in 39 cases and reported the pre-operative grip in the affected hand as 21 kg on average and 31 kg post-operatively (Meads et al.\(^4\)). An AO plate and iliac crest autograft were used in this series by Meads. The study objectives were to determine:

1. The gain in grip strength in the same hand as a percentage of the value pre-operatively
2. Grip strength after the successful fusion of the wrist as a percentage of the pre-operative value of the contralateral side
3. Grip strength as a percentage of the normative value (Mathiowetz\(^2\))
4. The improvement in the Disability of Arm, Shoulder and Hand (DASH)\(^5\) score from baseline values.

Patients and methods

A prospective observational study was performed at our institution. Twenty-five participants undergoing a total wrist fusion for osteoarthritis-related conditions from August 2013 to the current date were eligible for the study provided they could comply with a one-year minimum follow-up post-operatively. Twenty-two participants completed the study as required. One participant terminated employment and could not be reached for follow-up. Two participants voluntarily withdrew from the study before the final assessment.

Thirteen males and nine females underwent surgery. The mean age of the study population was 49.6 years (range 19–85). In 13 cases, the participant's dominant wrist was operated. The mean follow-up was 27 months (12–52 months).

Each participant completed the DASH questionnaire before surgery and at final follow-up. The participants did not have access to their personal pre-operative DASH form at follow-up. A Jamar dynamometer was used to measure the grip strength before surgery in all cases. All measurements were taken in a standardised position with the participant sitting with their arm held abducted next to the body and the elbow in 90° flexion with the forearm in the neutral position.\(^6\) The Jamar was set on the second handle position for all participants. The average of three trials was used while allowing enough time for recovery in-between efforts to prevent fatigue. The dynamometer was calibrated according to manufacturer guidelines.\(^7\) The measurement was repeated at three-monthly intervals and finally at a minimum of 12 months after surgery.

Participants with known rheumatoid or seronegative arthritis, any neuromuscular disorders or tendon-related problems were excluded.

The surgery was performed by two surgeons (OK and TLB). The participant was positioned supine on the operating table with the arm positioned on a hand table. An above-elbow tourniquet was used in all cases. The skin incision was dorsal from the middle of the middle finger metacarpal and extended 5–7 cm proximal to the dorsal wrist crease. Through a dorsal approach between the third and fourth extensor compartments, the extensor pollicus longus muscle was identified and retracted radially. Transverse incisions were made at the proximal and distal end of the extensor retinaculum, and this structure was reflected ulnarly, underneath the tendons of the fourth compartment. The extensor retinaculum was reattached at the end of the procedure between the plate and the muscle to prevent tendon attrition. The distal part of the posterior interosseous nerve was resected, the Lister's tubercle was removed, and the cartilage on the articular surface between the radius and lunate, and lunate and capitate, was fischscaled. Though the third carpometacarpal joint was spanned, no particular attention was paid to the joint between the base of the middle finger and the capitale.

Bone graft was done in all cases, with an allograft bone graft used in 17 of the cases. In five of the cases, a partial or complete resection of the distal ulna was done in combination with the wrist fusion due to arthritis at the distal radioulnar joint. In these cases, the resected bone was used as an autograft. A Depuy Synthes\(^6\) wrist arthrodesis plate was used in all cases. The plate was placed from the third metacarpal to the distal radius. The implant was used in compression mode for all procedures. With the plate in position, the wrist is in 10° of wrist extension and positioned in neutral deviation.

Post-operative management included a volar splint for two weeks followed by a circular cast for another four weeks. Radiographs were repeated at the six-week follow-up visit. A hand therapist instructed the patients on the correct hand and finger movement while in the splint on the day of the surgery. The participants received a home programme on discharge and routinely attended hand therapy. The surgeons evaluated the participants' wrists at two and six weeks. An individualised follow-up regimen was followed up to one-year post-operatively.

All of the participants were followed up by the principal investigators. The participants included in the study can receive medical care only at our institution. The hospital provides healthcare service to members of the military and their dependents in KwaZulu-Natal, Mpumalanga, North West, Limpopo and Gauteng (provinces in South Africa). This accounts for a third of the country.

The referring units provide transport to and from the facility. All post-operative care and complications related to the wrist are treated at one hospital.

Statistical analysis

The data analyses were done in collaboration with the Biostatistics Unit of the Medical Research Council.

The following aspects of the analyses are of importance:

- The improvement in grip strength in the same hand as a percentage of the value pre-operatively, as a percentage of the contralateral side and as a percentage of the normative value
- The improvement in DASH scores
- Descriptive statistics (summary statistics, i.e. mean, variance)
- Confidence intervals (CI)
- The paired t-test was used to compare the parameters of interest
The test was applied between pre- and post-test scores for each of the parameters for individual patients, and served as his/her control. Furthermore, the change between pre- and post-test scores was tested using the one-sample t-test.

Results

Scaphoid non-union advanced collapse (SNAC) (5/22) and scapholunate advanced collapse (SLAC) (8/22) (Figure 1) accounted for 59% of the operative indications (Table I).

The grip strength in the affected hand improved on average by 12.6 kg (p<0.001; CI 95% [7.7, 17.3]) from 20.2 kg (14.03, 26.6) to 32.8 kg (27.2, 38.32). The grip strength in the affected hand was 84.5% compared to the pre-operative value of the unaffected side (32.8 kg vs 38.8 kg; p<0.001). In comparison to the normative values as defined by Mathiowetz,1 the post-operative grip in the operated wrist was 85.4% (p<0.001) (Table II).

The DASH score improved by 27.8 points from 52.0 pre-operatively to 24.2 post-operatively, although this improvement was not statistically significant (p=0.1).

Eleven participants had undergone previous surgery to the same wrist preceding the total wrist fusion. Five had associated ulnar-sided surgery at the same setting (three matched distal ulnar resections and two Darrach procedures).

Four participants required follow-up surgery. One participant was scheduled for carpal tunnel surgery and requested removal of the hardware during the same procedure (Table III). One patient developed a non-union and needed four subsequent operations before union was achieved. He initially had a high-velocity distal radius fracture on the non-dominant hand and had undergone multiple surgeries before the wrist fusion. This participant worked as a maintenance and recovery official at his unit and continued with his manual labour after successful fusion.

The current study populations’ job requirements are unique because of the need to handle a rifle and do physical training. The permanent force military members all returned to work with duty restrictions within six weeks following the surgery. One participant changed his dominance. He was ambidextrous and continued to play action cricket at a provincial level after the wrist fusion. Three participants required reclassification with duty restrictions because they could not handle a rifle with the affected wrist and could not do push-ups. They were, however, able to handle a handheld weapon. One participant was reskilled, and one participant changed her occupation, but this was unrelated to the wrist fusion. All of the pensioners in the study went back to their hobbies but made adjustments. Specific actions like turning a doorknob and opening a tight jar were difficult.

Participants reported improvement of pain after the wrist fusion. Question number 24 of the DASH score was evaluated. Pre-operatively, the participants scored their pain as follows: 21% extreme, 63% severe, 10% moderate and 5% mild. Post-operatively, the score improved to 21% moderate, 42% mild and 36% no pain.

Disability. Weak grip strength is already present in the affected wrist due to the underlying condition. Improvement in the power of the grip leads to improvement of this isolated impairment.

Various authors have found the loss of grip strength to range from 57% to 78% compared to the unaffected side. The current study examined the grip strength in the affected hand pre-operatively as a value of the grip strength after successful fusion. In the population studied, the manual labourer runs the risk of losing their job if they are not able to comply with work requirements. These requirements often include a power grip. This study has shown that grip strength improved by 62.3% from the starting value and this is 84.5% of the value of the contralateral side. The significant gain in grip strength compared to the other authors might be attributed to the prolonged follow-up.

Discussion

Reliable evaluation of grip strength is essential to evaluate the effectiveness of the different surgical options in the management of arthritic conditions of the wrist. Theoretically, loss of grip strength is a consequence of total wrist arthrodesis. The patients who suffer from arthritis in the wrist due to various reasons are in good health generally, but have an isolated problem in the wrist, which results in disability. Weak grip strength is already present in the affected wrist due to the underlying condition. Improvement in the power of the grip leads to improvement of this isolated impairment.
In a recent review article by Wei and Feldon, major complications were described as wrists requiring follow-up surgery, and minor complications as those not requiring any follow-up surgery. In the 45 studies included, reporting on 1,782 wrists, the major complication rate was 19% and the minor complication rate 10%. The advances in implants have successfully decreased the complication rate to 19% and the minor complication rate to 10%.

The optimal position of the fused wrist remains controversial. Grip strength is optimal with the wrist in 45° of extension and neutral deviation. The optimal position of the fused wrist requires postural control as well. The AO plate incorporates 10° of extension and neutral deviation.

When a patient has a deficient grip and discomfort, it causes disability in upper limb function. A total wrist fusion is considered a salvage operation, with the prerequisite that it improve persistent wrist pain. Because of the limitations after a wrist fusion, the procedure is often postponed, while several other motion-preserving operations are offered. Meads states that a wrist fusion should be recommended earlier, limiting the number of operations before the wrist fusion, but the patient should be warned about the limitations after the fusion. We support Meads’ opinion that a wrist fusion should be offered sooner, as 11 participants had a total of 23 procedures to the wrist preceding the fusion.

Although Barbieri et al. reported controversies regarding the patients’ subjective perception of overall upper limb function after total wrist fusion, 65.7% of their study population with low-demand work requirements went back to the same occupation after the surgery. In a study reporting on bilateral wrist fusions, most patients adapted to their limited wrist motion and were satisfied with their functionality. In another study, Hastings et al. reported a 64.5% return to the same work activities performed pre-operatively. Three participants with ultra-high demand occupations needed permanent duty restrictions and one participant was retrained. The remainder of the participants returned to the same occupation or hobbies.

### Table II: Summary statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Summary statistics</th>
<th>Age (yrs)</th>
<th>DASH pre</th>
<th>DASH post</th>
<th>Grip pre</th>
<th>Grip post</th>
<th>Contra</th>
<th>Normative affected</th>
<th>Normative contra</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td></td>
<td>n=9</td>
<td>54.8</td>
<td>60.7</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>24.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>18.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Min</td>
<td></td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>88.3</td>
<td>63.8</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>43.7</td>
<td>35.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Max</td>
<td></td>
<td>61.0</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td></td>
<td>n=13</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>40.3</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>47.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>29.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Min</td>
<td></td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>67.5</td>
<td>55.8</td>
<td>56.7</td>
<td>62.3</td>
<td>78.0</td>
<td>55.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Max</td>
<td></td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>50.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>n=22</td>
<td>49.6</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>38.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>18.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Min</td>
<td></td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>88.3</td>
<td>63.8</td>
<td>56.7</td>
<td>62.3</td>
<td>78.0</td>
<td>55.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Max</td>
<td></td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>51.8</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>41.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Median</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Non-union | 1 |
| Carpal tunnel syndrome | 1 |
| Removal of hardware | 2 |
| Persistent ulnar-sided wrist pain requiring ulnar-sided surgery | 1 |
| Total       | 18.2% |

### Conclusion

Total wrist fusion remains a reliable procedure to restore a power grip and provide pain relief at the expense of wrist movement. It is a suitable option for a high-demand patient with an isolated wrist problem and should remain in the skill set of the orthopaedic surgeon.
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